

10

**E. Election / Restrictions**

The Examiner required an election to one of the identified species. If no generic claim is held to be allowable, the Applicant elects to proceed with Group I as identified with the Examiner.

In conclusion, the Applicant submits that it has made all efforts to respond to the objections and rejections made by the Examiner and that this letter is a complete response to the Examiner's Report. The Applicant further submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and respectfully requests the timely issue of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

**Peter Eliopoulos**  
Registration No. 55918  
Agent for the Applicant

Eliopoulos Intellectual Property Law  
2600 Skymark Ave.  
Unit 11-101  
Mississauga, Ontario CANADA  
L4W 5B2  
905-206-0851

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE**

**SEP 28 2005**

Appl. No. : 10/694,851  
Applicant : Terrance N. Durdon  
Filed : October 29, 2003  
Confirmation No.: 6267  
Art Unit: 3727  
Examiner : HYLTON, Robin Annette  
Docket No. : 2077-41  
Customer No. : 46634

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA  
22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REPORT**

Sir:

In response to the Office action of March 28, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Specification begin on page 5 of this paper.

Amendments to the Drawings begin on page 6 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7 of this paper.

An Appendix including amended drawing figures is attached following page 10 of this paper.